1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
12	SANTANA ROW HOTEL PARTNERS, L.P., )	CASE NO.: C 05-00198 JW	
13	Plaintiff,		
14	v. )	STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING	
15	ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE	THE CHANGE OF CERTAIN DATES IN THE SCHEDULING ORDER  )	
16	COMPANY, GALLAGHER-PIPINO, INC., and ARTHUR J. GALLAGHER & CO.		
17	Defendants.		
18			
19	ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY,	Second Amended Complaint Filed: June 20, 2006	
20	Cross-Complainant,		
21	v.		
22	GALLAGHER-PIPINO, INC.		
23	Cross-Defendant.		
24		)	
25	WHEREAS, counsel for plaintiff Santana Row Hotel Partners, LP is scheduled to conduct		
26	two multi-week trials within the next 45 days and has conducted an unsuccessful settlement		
27	conference this week relating to one of the trials, which is now set to proceed next week, and does		
28	not currently expect either matter to resolve informally;		
	[		
	STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING THE CHANGE OF	STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING THE CHANGE OF CERTAIN DATES IN THE SCHEDULING ORDER - C 05-00198 JW	

1 WHEREAS, on June 20, 2006, the Court granted Plaintiff Santana Row Hotel Partners, LP 2 leave to file a second amended complaint, supplementing its causes of action against the broker 3 defendants; 4 WHEREAS, the parties have and continue to conduct written discovery and to seek to resolve discovery disputes informally, and have and continue to resolve scheduling conflicts concerning the 5 taking of oral depositions; 6 7 WHEREAS, approximately 300,000 documents have been produced by the parties and third-8 party witnesses in the discovery in this litigation, with further production of documents expected; 9 WHEREAS, Defendant Zurich has conducted 19 depositions on the issues of insurance 10 coverage and damages. Plaintiff has conducted three depositions (including an extensive 30(b)(6) 11 deposition) and has noticed but not commenced another deposition, and the Gallagher defendants 12 have noticed and commenced, but not yet completed, one deposition on the issue of insurance 13 coverage; 14 WHEREAS, pursuant to the October 26, 2005 Scheduling Order, as amended by Orders 15 dated January 10, 2006, March 13, 2006, September 6, 2006 and October 10, 2006, the parties' Rule 26 expert disclosures are to be served on November 3, 2006, and the parties' motion(s) for partial 16 17 summary judgment regarding liability on the first and second claims for relief in Plaintiff's second 18 amended complaint are scheduled for hearing on December 4, 2006; 19 WHEREAS, all of the parties agree that the dates in the Scheduling Order should be changed 20 to allow the parties to complete discovery, to engage in a more productive expert witness exchange, 21 to otherwise narrow the issues for the submission of dispositive pre-trial motions, and to prepare for trial; and 22 23 WHEREAS, all parties agree that, in light of the complexity of the issues in dispute and the 24 nature and scope of the issues to be litigated, the changes requested herein are necessary to the productive and orderly handling of this matter; 25 26 /// /// 27 28 ///

2

26

27

28